

Cloud Storage Security Cryptographic tools

Abdallah M'HAMED

R3S /SAMOVAR (CNRS) TELECOM SUDPARIS – Evry – France

Abdallah.mhamed@telcom-sudparis.eu

CONTENTS

I. Introduction
II. Cloud storage security
III.Cloud Privacy
IV. Cloud Integrity
V. Conclusion

INTRODUCTION



INTRODUCTION

Cryptography for Data protection



Data Storage Security Requirements

- Confidentiality: the CSP does not learn any information about customer data
- > **Privacy**: prevent any disclosure of private information
- Integrity: any unauthorized modification of customer data by the CSP should be detected by the customer
- Availability: customer data should be accessible from any machine and all times
- Data sharing: customers can share their data with trusted parties

Cloud Integrity

> Remote Data checking:

- Interactive assurance that remotely stored data is available and intact
- Let a client efficiently verify that a remotely stored data is available (without downloading it) and can be fully recovered on demand.
- Establish trust in CS services by providing proofs to clients that the data is consistent and available at all times

Cloud Integrity

- > Data preparation for storage:
- Data indexing
- Data encryption using **symmetric** scheme (ie: AES)
- Index encryption using searchable encryption (SE)
- Encrypted data and Index are encoded in such a way that the data integrity can be verified using a *proof of storage* (PoS)

Cloud Privacy

- Client's private data is stored only in its encrypted form
- > Offering different levels of privacy to cloud customers
- Enable to compute arbitrary functions on data in its encrypted form
- The computation leaks no information and is verifiable

Cloud Privacy

> Attribute based Encryption (ABE):

Each user is provided with a decryption key using a set of *attributes* associated with him/her *credentials* (name, age, address, job, etc.)

Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE)

- Enabling *computation on encrypted data* stored in distributed servers of CP.
- Cloud server have no knowledge about : input data, processing function, result values
- Outsourced computation occurs in a fully privacypreserving way



IBE: Identity Based Encryption

- Public-key is derived from public data string (identity, email, IP adress)
- Each client acts as its own PKG and generates its IBC-PE (Public Elements) and its own private key
- Easier Key management: Certificate-free concept
- Derivation of public key does not depend on previous computation of previous private keys



- A generalization of IBE scheme
- Public-key derived from a combination of *attributes*
- Restrict decryption privileges to entities with a defined set of *attributes* (not to particular identity)
- Allows decryption for anyone carrying a chosen set of attributes satisfying a policy defined over *attributes*
- Data remains inaccessible unless the provider's or user's role or privileges adhere to the policy



- > Examples of access restriction:
 - *Encrypted traffic log file* : a particular range of dates, subnet IP addresses)
 - Medical record: most recent medication
- ➢ Let 𝔑 be a universe of attributes
- User U is characterized by a subset $U \subseteq \Omega$
- Anyone encrypt under an identity $V \subseteq \Omega$
- Everyone having at least *d* ≥ *1* attributes in common with *V* will be able to decrypt
- Formally $V \subseteq \Omega$ and $|U \cap V| \ge 1$



- Encryption: m * g^y
 The exponent y constructed from at least d values
 Decryption : reconstructing the secret y and
 dividing out by the factor g^y
- Access policy: Boolean expression (AND/OR) involving a subset of attributes.

The Access granted if at least d attributes are present The access structure: $\{U \subseteq \Omega : |U| \ge d\} \subseteq 2^{\Omega}$



> Access policy: decryption granted if a boolean expression is satisfied







PE: Predicate Encryption

- Ciphertext permit the evaluation of predicates on the hidden plaintext
- Encrypt M such as M remains hidden but a set of predefined predicates can be evaluated to check the hidden plaintext for certain properties (access permissions) prior to decryption
- Support access control at a very fine-grained level
- > Allow M to be tested for certain properties



PE: Predicate Encryption

- > Predicates: general function that evaluates on strings into the set {0, 1}
- An entity seeking to decrypt would request a decryption key for the predicate *f*
- The plaintext *M* can be recovered if and only if *f* evaluates to *1* for *M*.
- > Predicates are constructed using logical expressions or polynomial equations:

HE: Homomorphic Encryption

Allows CP to compute an operation on plaintext while only having access to ciphertexts, without any knowledge on secret key and plaintext

> Group homomorphic scheme:

Plaintext space : $m \in (M, *)$ Cyphertext space : $c \in (C, \emptyset)$

 $m_1, m_2 \in M$

Enc $(m_1^* m_2; P_k)$ =Enc $(m_1; P_k)$ #Enc $(m_2; P_k)$ = $c_1 # c_2$ Dec $(c_1 # c_2; S_k) = m_1^* m_2$



HE: Homomorphic Encryption

> Multiplicative HE: RSA

 $E(m_1 X m_2; P_k) = E(m_1; P_k) \cdot E(m_2; P_k) = C_1 \cdot C_2$

Dec ($c_1 \cdot c_2; S_k$) = $m_1 X m_2$

> Additive HE: El Gamal

 $E(m_1 + m_2; P_k) = E(m_1; P_k) \cdot E(m_2; P_k) = c_1 \cdot c_2$

Dec ($c_1 \cdot c_2; S_k$) = $m_1 + m_2$

- Somewhat HE: specific class of functions [BGN: Boneh-Goh-Nissim]
- Full HE: any functions []



HE: Homomorphic Encryption

- Somewhat HE: [BGN: Boneh-Goh-Nissim] specific class of functions
- Fully HE: [Gentry]
- Most sophisticated class of HE schemes
- Allow arbitrary functions to be evaluated on cyphertexts

Class	Scheme	Additions	Multiplications
SHE	RSA	None	Unlimited
	ElGamal	Unlimited	None
SHE	BGN	Unlimited	Limited to 1
FHE	Gentry	Unlimited	Unlimited

- To let a file owner verify the existence of remotely stored file at CSP (without downloading it).
- Establish trust by providing proofs to client about the file
 consistency and availability at anytime.
 - Proofs of retrievability (PoR)
 - Provable Data possession (PDP)
- > *PoR* and *PDP* achieve the same proof with :
 - significantly less communication /computational overhead
 - little storage requirement for ${\bf V}$
 - small number of memory access for ${\bf P}$

- > Simple scheme:
- > File Owner choose a set of keyed hash function: $H(x;k_{1}), H(x;k_{2}), \dots, H(x;k_{t})$ \boldsymbol{x} : input data, \boldsymbol{H} : hash function,

$$k_1, k_2, ..., k_t$$
: keys

- > The Verifier stores the *t* hash values
- > A backup server can be challenged to provide the hash $H(x;k_i)$ under a given key k_i

> Drawbacks:

- Storage cost for V / proportional to t
- Need for **SP** to process **F** /every challenge
- Limited number of challenges

> Efficient scheme:

 \mathbf{IV}

- > Use a hash function H and a block cipher like AES. **F** = (f₁, f₂,..., f_n) of n blocks, **k** : key, **H** : hash function t random challenges : $r_1, r_2, ..., r_t$ t random index sets: $I_1, I_2, ..., I_t \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ of size c
- > The owner computes **tokens**
- $v_i = Encrypt [H(r_i || f_{i_1} || f_{i_2} || ... || f_{i_c}); k]$ for $1 \le i \le t$ and $i_j \in I_i$
- > Outsources $(F, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t)$ for $1 \le i \le t$
- > The Client send r_i and I_i to the server
- > The Server computes $h_i = H(r_i ||f_{i1}|| |f_{i2}|| ||f_{ic}|)$ and sends (v_i, h_i) to the client
- > The client check if $h_i = Decrypt(v_i; \mathbf{k})$

Simple modification of Efficient scheme to support updates on outsourced files on arbitrary positions

 $\boldsymbol{v_i} = Encrypt\left[\boldsymbol{H}(r_i || i_1 || f_{i1}) \oplus ... \oplus \boldsymbol{H}(r_i || i_c |f_{ic}); \boldsymbol{k}\right]$

If some block f_j needs to be modified to f'_j , then all values v_i that includes f_j can be updated to v'_i

 $\boldsymbol{v'_i} = Enc \left[Dec \left(\boldsymbol{v_i}; \boldsymbol{k} \right) \oplus \boldsymbol{H}(r_i || \boldsymbol{j} || \boldsymbol{f_j} \right) \oplus \boldsymbol{H}(r_i || \boldsymbol{j} || \boldsymbol{f'_j}); \boldsymbol{k} \right]$

Block f_i will be replaced by the Block f'_i

Proofs of Retrievabality (PoR)

- Basic idea: to embed small (randomly values) data chunks called *sentinels* in F
- Spot checks can be made in order to detect corruptions of F. The server is asked to return the sentinel values at some specific positions.
- To protect against small corruptions of F, error correcting
 block codes are applied
- To prevent the server from deleting portions of F when retaining the sentinels only, **encryption and permutations** are employed to scatter and hide the position of the sentinels across F.

Provable Data Possession (PDP)

- The client having F = (f₁, f₂,..., f_n) computes tags
 T = (T₁, T₂,..., T_n) and stores (F, T) at the server.
 The client generates a challenge related to a random subset of blocks {1, ..., n}
- The prover responds by computing *homomorphic tag* aggregating all **tags** corresponding to the challenged file blocks and some linear combination of the file blocks.

Secure Data Deduplication (SDD)

- Help cloud providers to save storage space while at the same time preserving confidentiality of client data
- Physical storage only happens when initial first user uploads the file
- For any subsequent storage request for the same file, the server create only a **reference** to the initial file
- Encrypting files before uploading them to a storage service allows us to guarantee the **confidentiality** in respect to insider attacks of SP or outsider attacks
- Neither SP and outsiders gets to know the decryption keys (managed by the client)

Secure Data Deduplication (SDD)

- Applied on *file* or *block* levels and performed on Client or Server sides
- Client side DD: the server look-up before uploading F: asking the server if he knows a file with a given hash value
- Server side DD: upload always happens, letting the Server handling redundant copies of the same file.
- Client side DD results in enormous bandwidth and disk savings
- Client side DD is the most rewarding solution, applied by popular storage services (DropBox and Mozy)

Searchable Encryption (SE)

- Combines confidentiality with search functionality
- > Encryption allows **keyword** search over encrypted files
- Use of highly available cloud storage without revealing the files in plaintext to the SP
- Enable SP to search encrypted data by virtue of a *trapdoor information* that the Client provides
- > The server neither learns the query nor the underlying data
- The storage server can retrieve and respond with all the data (encrypted documents) that match the query
- > The client can decrypt the results locally
- A *full homomorphic encryption* schemes allow the construction of *searchable encryption*

Searchable Encryption (SE)

> Symmetric/Asymmetric SE

- *Symmetric SE*: Owner of secret key (data owner) can store encrypted data and generate encrypted queries
- Asymmetric SE: Everyone can store encrypted data Only the owner can issue encrypted queries and decrypt data

Fulltext/Index SE

- *Fulltext search*: the entire content of data file is searched item by item where every item is tested (i.e. equality with keyword)

Index search: runs a query on as separate encrypted index file
 Forward Index: a list of keywords per file
 Inverted Index: a list of matching files for every keywords

- A huge specific cryptographic tools dedicated to cloud storage security
- To ensure both integrity and privacy of outsourced data targeting
 - reduce the computational and cost overhead
 - release of data on remote CP servers
- Some schemes (Like HE) are still costly for both CP and client sides
- Research work is quite promising for the remaining challenging tasks

Bibliographical sources

- Surveyson Cloud security
- Data security and privacy preservation in cloud storage environments based on cryptographic mechanisms, Nesrine Kaaniche, Maryline Laurent, Computer Communications, 111 (2017), pp. 120-141
- > Cryptographic background of Cloud security
- Cryptography for security and Privacy in Cloud Computing, Stepan Rass, Daniel Slamanig,, Artech House, Boston, 2014, 242 pages